

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/966,849	09/28/2001	Malathy Nair	5488	4998
	590 11/08/2004		EXAMINER	
GENERAL MILLS, INC. P.O. BOX 1113			WONG, LESLIE A	
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
•			DATE MAILED 11/00/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		mk				
_	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/966,849	NAIR ET AL.				
naviou, notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie Wong	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application is	n In			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nain SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe teen which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the distautory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEI 136(a) and the appropriate extension of fee. The appropriate extension feels the final Office action; or (2) as see	P on fee ee under et forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		=				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplify	ing the			
(d) \(\square\) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amen	idment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT plac	e the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were new	ly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			1			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>24-31, 33-41, and 43-48</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme						
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
		Leslie Wong Primary Examiner)			
		Art Unit: 1761				

⊈Conti⊈uation Sheet (PTOL-303) 909/966,849

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendments to claim 24 raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claimed invention does not define over the prior art for the reasons of record.